DEPARTMENT OF STATE REVENUE LETTER OF FINDINGS NUMBER: 05-0022

Sales Tax Responsible Officer For the Tax Period 2002-2003

NOTICE:

Under IC 4-22-7-7, this document is required to be published in the Indiana Register and is effective on its date of publication. It shall remain in effect until the date it is superseded or deleted by the publication of a new document in the Indiana Register. The publication of this document will provide the general public with information about the Department's official position concerning a specific issue.

ISSUE

1. Sales Tax-Responsible Officer Liability

Authority: IC 6-2.5-9-3, IC 6-8.1-5-1(b).

The taxpayer protests the assessment of responsible officer liability for unpaid corporate sales taxes.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

The taxpayer was an officer of a corporation that did not remit the proper amount of sales and withholding taxes during the tax period October 2002-September 2003. The Indiana Department of Revenue assessed the unpaid sales taxes, interest, and penalty against the taxpayer as a responsible officer of that corporation. The taxpayer protested the assessment of tax. A hearing was held and this Letter of Findings results.

1. Sales Tax-Responsible Officer Liability

Discussion

Indiana Department of Revenue assessments are prima facie evidence that the taxes are owed by the taxpayer who has the burden of proving that the assessment is incorrect. IC 6-8-1-5-1(b).

The proposed sales tax liability was issued under authority of IC 6-2.5-9-3 that provides as follows:

An individual who:

- (1) is an individual retail merchant or is an employee, officer, or member of a corporate or partnership retail merchant; and
- (2) has a duty to remit state gross retail or use taxes to the department;

0420050022.LOF Page #2

holds those taxes in trust for the state and is personally liable for the payment of those taxes, plus any penalties and interest attributable to those taxes, to the state.

The taxpayer produced substantial documentation that he severed all his ties with the corporation on July 24, 2001. Therefore he had no duty to collect and remit sales taxes to the state for tax periods after that date. He is not personally responsible for the payment of the corporate sales taxes.

Finding

The taxpayer's protest is sustained.

KMA/JMM/JMS/05/31/03